AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09) ECF No. 19 filed 02/24/12 PageID.31 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Emmanuel Nathaniel Butler	Case No. 1:12-mj-00078-ESC
	Defendant	A - 1 40 11 C O C 2440/f)
	after conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refu efendant be detained pending trial.	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Fir	ndings of Fact
(1)		n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of nat would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 rd which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had bee U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	n convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv	
	the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon . § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed w or local offense.	hile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presum person or the community. I further find that defendan	nption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe t has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative	e Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance are	blished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditionant the safety of the community.
,		e Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	
		the Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the a preponderance of the evidence that:	e detention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing
	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to con ndant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	February 24, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	